NEXTIRS

DAILY EDITORIAL ANALYSIS

TOPIC

Rise of Minilateralism in Indo-Pacific

www.nextias.com



RISE OF MINILATERALISM IN INDO-PACIFIC

In Context

The Indo-Pacific region has notably emerged as a pivot for minilateral activity in recent years.

More In News

• The US Secretary of Defense met his Australian, and Japanese counterparts to institutionalize and launch the 'Squad' as a new four-way security arrangement in the Indo-Pacific.

Do you know?

- The Squad has become an essential addition to the series of security 'mini-lateral' groupings in the Indo-Pacific, such as the Quad, Aukus, the US-Philippines-Japan trilateral and the US-Japan-South Korea trilateral
- The idea behind the Squad centres on the desire of all four democracies to deepen their level of integration beyond the hub-and-spokes format at a time when China has been militarizing the South China Sea at the expense of the rules-based order of the Indo-Pacific.
- The seeds for the Squad's creation were already planted in 2023 through the efforts of the four countries to enhance their strategic collaboration in the South China Sea.

Minilateralism

- It refers to a pragmatic approach where a small group of countries collaborate on specific issues .
- Minilaterals refer to informal and more targeted initiatives intended to address a "specific threat, contingency or security issue with fewer states sharing the same interest for resolving it within a finite period of time."
- Their outcomes and commitments are voluntary in nature.
- Minilateral cooperation is being witnessed on all vital themes for international cooperation, such as climate change, economic cooperation, trade, connectivity, financial regulation, and security.
- **a range of minilaterals**: the Quad, the India-Japan-US trilateral, and the India-France-Australia trilateral have been created to advance the interests of like-minded countries.
 - They also provide an avenue for participation of countries like India, who lack a similar decision-making capacity in forums like the UN Security Council.

Rise of Minilateralism

- It is associated with **stagnation in reforms**, and the **perceived failure of multilateral organisations** to achieve global cooperation on the most pertinent issues facing the international community.
- In **multilateral organisations**, consensus seems impossible and reforms remain elusive, while vested interests and institutional inertia continue to hamper decision-making.
- Large organisations with formal institutional structure, international bureaucracies, and heterogenous membership, can face hurdles that impede prompt decision-making. These transaction costs associated with multilateral frameworks have made minilateralism a more preferred mechanism.
- The growth of minilaterals is thus often viewed as a solution to address the inefficiency of multilaterals.

Benefits

- Minilateralism allows a group of countries with shared interests and values to bypass seemingly moribund frameworks, and resolve issues of common concern.
 - These arrangements are voluntary, and follow a bottom-up approach.
- It can bring certain advantages, including speed, flexibility, modularity, and possibilities for experimentation.
- In climate action, minilaterals can help countries to cooperate on research and implementation of technologies in the field of renewable energies.



- **In trade negotiations**, minilaterals can fill gaps in multilateral frameworks, and allow countries to engage in political dialogue to resolve outstanding, global-level issues.
- In security cooperation, minilaterals are a useful tool of diplomacy as they complement existing bilateral partnerships, allow countries to focus on specific regions, while the closed-door nature of discussions in minilaterals helps retain confidentiality.
 - Minilateralism can provide a forum to strengthen defence and security cooperation in new regional theatres, such as the Indo-Pacific.
- Minilateralism provides a pathway for increasing political dialogue and enhancing confidence-building between key partners.
 - This in turn can widen opportunities for streamlining negotiations before multilateral platforms and work towards the larger goal of international cooperation and global governance.
- It provides an opportunity for middle powers such as Australia, India, and Japan, to build on common interests and strengthen the regional economic and security architecture.

Issues and Challenges

- The voluntary, non-binding and consensus-based nature of minilaterals may be less effective in shaping state policy, interests and behaviour.
- Minilateralism also presents dangers of forum-shopping, undermining critical international organisations, and reducing accountability in global governance.
- it is difficult to measure the actual qualitative and quantitative outcomes of minilaterals.
- The rise of China as a significant political, economic and military power is treated with anxiety, and its flagship Belt and Road Initiative is seen as a means to consolidate Beijing's geopolitical reach.

Conclusion and Way Forward

- Minilateralism can help in framing targeted partnerships that can focus energies on shared interests and concerns.
- Members have the option of engaging with different countries over separate frameworks, to coordinate policy approaches.
- At the same time, the proliferation of minilaterals can lead to a disjointed approach towards policy and strategy on a common issue.
- Too many frameworks can lead to fragmentation of action, and dilute outcomes which may, in turn, weaken cooperation on global issues.
- More ways need to be explored on how minilaterals can supplement the work of multilaterals—and not subvert the work that is being done by these larger organisations.
- A forward-looking perspective needs to be implemented in deducing how minilaterals will affect security and strategic outcomes in diverse neighbourhoods, and how their operations and outcomes can be improved.
- The future impact of minilaterals—or multilaterals and global governance—will be based on their ability to
 foster cooperation and collaboration on international issues, rather than leading to a fragmentation of global
 governance mechanisms.

Mains Practice Question

Q. Discuss the potential benefits and challenges of minilateralism with reference to recent geopolitical developments.